arXiv:1407.0693v3 [math.DS] 29 Mar 2016

HAUSDORFF DIMENSION IN GRAPH MATCHBOX MANIFOLDS

OLGA LUKINA

Abstract. In this paper, we study the Hausdorff and the box dimensions of closed invariant subsets of the space of pointed trees, equipped with a pseudogroup action. This pseudogroup dynamical system can be regarded as a generalization of a shift space. We show that the Hausdorff dimension of the space of pointed trees is infinite. We also show that the union of closed invariant subsets with dense orbit and which have non-equal Hausdorff and box dimensions is dense in the space of pointed trees.

We also apply our results to the problem of embeddings laminations into differentiable foliations of smooth manifolds. To admit such an embedding, a lamination must satisfy at least the following two conditions: first, it must admit a metric and a foliated atlas, such that the generators of the holonomy pseudogroup, associated to the atlas, are bi-Lipschitz maps relative to the metric. Second, it must admit an embedding into a manifold, which is a bi-Lipschitz map. A suspension of the pseudogroup action on the space of pointed graphs gives an example of a lamination where the first condition is satisfied, and the second one is not satisfied, with Hausdorff dimension of the space of pointed trees being the obstruction to the existence of a bi-Lipschitz embedding.

1. Introduction

Let G be a finitely generated group, and $\Sigma : G \to \mathcal{A}$ be the space of all maps from G to a finite set $\mathcal{A}$. There is a natural action of G on Σ, and Σ with this action is called the *Bernouilli shift* [11]. Bernouilli shifts are well-known and well-studied, especially in the case $G = \mathbb{N}$ or $G = \mathbb{Z}$, and they find their applications in many branches of dynamical systems theory and other areas of mathematics. They have interesting properties, which make them useful in applications, such as positive entropy, positive Hausdorff dimension, and others.

Ghys [16] considered a dynamical system, where the phase space is the space of non-compact trees of bounded valence of vertices and with one distinguished vertex. Topologically the space of such pointed trees is a Cantor set. There is a partial action of a free group F_n, $n \geq 2$, on this space, which can be thought of as moving the distinguished vertex along the edges of trees. Because of the action, one intuitively thinks of the space of pointed trees with a partial action of a free group as a generalized Bernouilli shift.

Dynamical systems on spaces of pointed trees, and their suspensions, have been studied by Ghys [16], Blanc [5], Alcalde Cuesta, Lozano Rojo and Macho Stadler [1], Lozano Rojo [27]. In Lukina [29], it was shown that the structure of orbits of the action on the space of pointed trees is remarkably similar to the structure of the orbits in the full shift space. In Lozano Rojo and Lukina [28], it was shown that a full shift on any finitely generated group and any finite alphabet can be equivariantly embedded into the space of pointed trees.

In this paper, we continue the study of the properties of the dynamical system on the space of pointed trees. In particular, for a given metric, we calculate the Hausdorff dimension of this space, and show that it is infinite. We also investigate the Hausdorff and the box dimensions of closed subsets of the space of pointed trees, which contain a dense orbit, and which are invariant under the pseudogroup action.

Key words and phrases. matchbox manifold, laminations, Hausdorff dimension, box dimension, transverse differentiability, bi-Lipschitz embeddings, action on a space of pointed trees.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 37C45, 37C85, 57R30; Secondary 37B99.

Version date: March 25, 2016.

Our computations have the following application. One can suspend the action on the space of pointed trees, obtaining a lamination which we call the *space of graph matchbox manifolds*. Each point in this lamination has a neighborhood homeomorphic to the product of a Euclidean disk and a Cantor set. The space of pointed trees serves as a canonical transversal for this lamination. Since the Hausdorff dimension of the canonical transversal is infinite, the space of graph matchbox manifolds cannot be realized as a foliated subset of a smooth manifold with a $C^{\infty,r}$-foliation, for $r \geq 1$.

We now give precise statements of our results.

Let F_n be a free group on n generators, $n \geq 2$. We assume that the generating set F_n^{1+} is not symmetric, that is, if $a \in F_n^1$ then $a^{-1} \notin F_n^{1+}$. We denote by $\mathcal{G}_n$ the Cayley graph of F_n, determined by the choice of generators F_n^{1+}. Let X_n be the set of all connected, simply-connected non-compact subgraphs of $\mathcal{G}_n$ containing the identity vertex. Then every such graph $T \in X_n$ is a pointed metric space, with complete length metric associated to the standard length structure on $\mathcal{G}$.

The set X_n of pointed trees is given a *ball metric* as follows. Given any two trees T and T' in X_n, the distance $d_n(T, T') = e^{-m}$, where m is the maximal radius such that the closed balls of this radius in T and T' correspond to the same labelled pattern of edges.

An element $g \in F_n$ acts on a tree T if $g \in V(T)$, where $V(T) \subset F_2$ denotes the set of vertices of T. The action takes T to the tree T' with exactly the same geometry, but where the distinguished vertex is positioned differently (see Section 2 for details). Each element $g \in F_n$ gives rise to a homeomorphism γ_g, defined on a clopen subset of X. So one obtains a pseudogroup dynamical system (X_n, d_n, Γ_n), where $\Gamma_n = \{\gamma_g\}_{g \in F_n}$.

Lukina [29] studied the structure of orbits of Γ_n in X_n, which turned out to be remarkably similar to the structure of orbits in the shift space. In particular, it was shown in [29] that X_n contains a meager set of points with finite orbits, and a residual set of points with dense orbits. The work [28] further investigated the relationship between the space (X_n, d_n) and the shift spaces, showing that a shift space with finite alphabet can be equivariantly embedded as a subset of X_n, for n large enough.

This paper is devoted to the study of the Hausdorff dimensions of the space of pointed trees (X_n, d_n) and its invariant subsets, and its applications. Our first result is as follows.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let F_n, $n \geq 2$, be a free group on n generators, and let (X_n, d_n) be the space of pointed trees with the ball metric. Then the Hausdorff dimension of (X_n, d_n) is infinite,*

$$d_H(X_n) = \infty.$$

Now let $T \in X_n$, and let $O(T)$ be the orbit of T under the action of the pseudogroup Γ. Then the closure $\mathcal{X} = \overline{O(T)}$ is a closed subset of X_n. This subset $\mathcal{X}$ is transitive, that is, it contains a dense orbit $O(T)$, and it is invariant under the action of Γ. We are interested in the box and the Hausdorff dimensions of such subsets, in particular, if they coincide, and if they are finite or infinite.

The *lower box dimension* $\underline{\dim}_B(Z)$ and the *upper box dimension* $\overline{\dim}_B(Z)$ are often used to estimate the Hausdorff dimension $\dim_H(Z)$. If $\underline{\dim}_B(Z) = \overline{\dim}_B(Z)$, then we say that the box dimension $\dim_B(Z)$ is defined for Z. The box dimension is also called the Minkowski dimension, and, in general,

$$\dim_H(Z) \leq \underline{\dim}_B(Z) \leq \overline{\dim}_B(Z). \tag{1}$$

We first show that (X_n, d_n) contains closed invariant transitive subsets, for which all three characteristics in (1) coincide.

THEOREM 1.2. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ is a finite alphabet, and $\Sigma = \{\sigma : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathcal{A}\}$ be a shift space. Let $\Phi : \Sigma \to X_n$ be an equivariant embedding as in* [28]*. Then Φ is Hölder continuous. Moreover,*

$$0 < \dim_H \Phi(\Sigma) = \underline{\dim}_B \Phi(\Sigma) = \overline{\dim}_B \Phi(\Sigma) < \infty.$$

The next theorem 1.3 shows that (X_n, d_n) has a complicated structure, namely, each clopen set in X_n intersects a closed transitive invariant subset with equal with equal Hausdorff and box dimensions, and also each clopen set in X_n intersects a closed transitive invariant subset with non-zero Hausdorff dimensions.

THEOREM 1.3. *The space (X_n, d_n) contains closed subsets, invariant under the action of the pseudogroup Γ_n, with the following properties.*

1. *The subset $\mathcal{C} \subset X_n$ of trees with periodic orbits in X_n is dense and meager, has Hausdorff dimension equal to zero, and infinite box dimension.*
2. *The set $\mathcal{I}$ of closed transitive invariant subsets of X_n with zero Hausdorff dimension and positive box dimension is dense in X_n.*
3. *The set $\mathcal{J}$ of closed transitive invariant subsets of X_n with non-zero Hausdorff dimension is dense in X_n.*

One application of Theorem 1.1 is to the problem of embedding laminations into $C^{\infty,r}$ foliations of smooth manifolds, for $r \geq 1$.

The pseudogroup dynamical system (X_n, d_n, Γ_n), associated to a free group F_n, $n \geq 2$, can be suspended to produce a lamination $\mathfrak{M}_n$ with leaves of dimension 2 or higher [16, 1, 5, 28, 29]. A *graph matchbox manifold* is a compact connected subspace $\mathcal{M}$ of $\mathfrak{M}_n$ which is a suspension of the closure $\overline{O(T)} \subset X_n$ of the orbit of a tree T under the action of the pseudogroup Γ_n. Since X_n contains dense orbits of the Γ_n-action, there exists $\mathcal{T} \in X_n$ such that $\overline{O(\mathcal{T})} = \mathfrak{M}_n$, and so $\mathfrak{M}_n$ is a graph matchbox manifold in its own right.

Laminations provide models for the study of attractors in dynamical systems and, more generally, minimal sets of foliations of smooth manifolds. For example, Williams [40, 41] showed that the dynamics on an expanding hyperbolic attractor is conjugate to the shift map on the inverse limit of a map of some branched manifold onto itself. This inverse limit is called a *generalized solenoid*, and it is an example of a lamination. Brown [6] showed that the dynamics on a non-expanding topologically mixing codimension 1 hyperbolic attractor is conjugate to an automorphism of an inverse limit of tori, which is also an example of a lamination.

Therefore, it is natural to ask if a given lamination can be realized as a foliated subset of a $C^{\infty,r}$-foliation of a C^∞ manifold, for $r \geq 1$.

Current literature contains just a few examples of embeddings of laminations into foliations, especially into $C^{\infty,r}$-foliations, for $r \geq 1$. Here $C^{\infty,r}$ means that the leaves of a foliation are smooth manifolds, but the manifold M admits a *foliated* atlas of class C^r, $r \geq 1$. We refer the reader to Section 7 for an overview of the literature. For graph matchbox manifolds, Lozano [27] and Hector [21] considered their embeddings into $C^{\infty,0}$-foliations, i.e. the case when a foliation of a manifold is just continuous, and not differentiable.

The requirement that the foliation is $C^{\infty,r}$, for $r \geq 1$, imposes additional conditions on a lamination. For example, the classical Denjoy foliation of a 2-torus, see Denjoy [12], can only appear in C^0 or C^1 foliations. Further, McDuff [31] showed that Cantor sets with certain metrics cannot occur as minimal sets of C^1-homeomorphisms of a circle, and so their suspensions cannot occur as exceptional minimal sets of C^1-foliations of a 2-torus.

The conditions imposed on a lamination and on the embedding map by the requirement that the foliation of a manifold is $C^{\infty,r}$, for $r \geq 1$, in any codimension, were studied by Hurder [22].

Recall, that the map $f : Y \to Z$ of metric spaces (Y, d_Y) and (Z, d_Z) is *bi-Lipschitz*, if there exists a constant $K > 0$ such that for any $y, y' \in Y$ we have

$$\frac{1}{K} d_Y(y, y') \leq d_Z(f(y), f(y')) \leq K d_Y(y, y').$$

If a lamination $\mathfrak{M}$ can be realized as a subset of a $C^{\infty,r}$ foliation of a manifold M, for $r \geq 1$, then it must satisfy the following two conditions.

(1) $\mathfrak{M}$ must admit a foliated atlas $\mathcal{Q}$ and a metric $d_{\mathfrak{M}}$, such that the generators of the holonomy pseudogroup associated to $\mathcal{Q}$ are bi-Lipschitz maps with respect to the metric induced on the transversal by $d_{\mathfrak{M}}$.
(2) There must exist a homeomorphism onto its image $\phi : \mathfrak{M} \to M$ which is a bi-Lipschitz map.

We show in Section 2, that the pseudogroup Γ_n acting on the space of pointed trees (X_n, d_n) is generated by bi-Lipschitz maps. Then we have the following consequence of Theorem 1.1.

THEOREM 1.4. *Let F_n, $n \geq 2$, be a free group on n generators, and (X_n, d_n) be the associated space of pointed trees with ball metric. Let $\mathfrak{M}_n$ be a suspension of the natural pseudogroup action on (X_n, d_n). Then for $r \geq 1$, the lamination $\mathfrak{M}_n$ cannot be embedded as a subset of a C^∞-manifold with $C^{\infty,r}$ foliation of any codimension by a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism onto its image.*

Theorem 1.4 is a consequence of the facts, that bi-Lipschitz embeddings preserve the Hausdorff dimension of sets [34], and that the Hausdorff dimension of a subset of a manifold is equal to at most the dimension of the ambient manifold. Theorem 1.4 gives an example of a lamination $\mathfrak{M}_n$ with metric $d_{\mathfrak{M}}$ which satisfies Condition (1), but does not satisfy Condition (2).

Although one can construct examples of metrics on Cantor sets, so that their Hausdorff dimension is infinite, in all examples, known to the author, it seems problematic to equip these Cantor sets with a pseudogroup dynamical system so that the system is compactly generated, and the generators are bi-Lipschitz maps relative to the metric. Thus the space of graph matchbox manifolds in Theorem 1.4 seems to be the first known example of its kind.

Hurder [22] uses the result of Theorem 1.4 as an example of a lamination which does not admit a bi-Lipschitz embedding into a $C^{\infty,r}$-foliation, where $r \geq 1$, not only for the given ball metric d_n, but for *any* metric on $\mathfrak{M}_n$, such that the holonomy pseudogroup of $\mathfrak{M}_n$ is generated by bi-Lipschitz maps relative to this metric. His argument is based on the fact, that if $\mathfrak{M}_n$ has infinite Hausdorff dimension, then it has infinite pseudogroup entropy. The fact that entropy is infinite depends neither on the metric on $\mathfrak{M}_n$, nor on the generating set of Γ_n (see Ghys, Langevin and Walczak [17]). It follows that $\mathfrak{M}_n$ cannot be embedded into a $C^{\infty,r}$-foliation by a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism onto its image, for $r \geq 1$, since the entropy of a differentiable foliation of a smooth finite-dimensional manifold is always finite (see Ghys, Langevin and Walczak [17]). The result of Theorem 1.4 in this paper is vital for this conclusion.

The study of graph matchbox manifolds is continued in the follow-up paper [23], joint with Hurder, where we investigate the relationship between the Hausdorff dimension of X_n, and various types of entropy of the pseudogroup dynamical system defined on this set. The paper [23] brings together the ideas of the current paper and that of Attie and Hurder [2], and explores in greater detail the relationship between leaf complexity and transverse dimension.

There are a number of questions one can ask about the Hausdorff dimension of graph matchbox manifolds. Here are just a few of them:

(1) Does there exists a minimal graph matchbox manifold with infinite Hausdorff dimension?
(2) Find a graph $T \subset X_n$ such that the lower box dimension $\underline{\dim}_B \overline{O(T)}$ and the upper box dimension $\overline{\dim}_B \overline{O(T)}$ of the closure $\overline{O(T)}$ of the orbit $O(T)$ are not equal.
(3) Is it possible to construct an infinite increasing chain of graph matchbox manifolds, each with finite Hausdorff dimension, and these dimensions tend to infinity?
(4) Construct trees using a set of rules in the spirit of Conway's Game of Life, and investigate the properties of the corresponding dynamical systems.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we recall the necessary background on the space of pointed trees and the pseudogroup action on this space. In section 3 we recall the definition of the Hausdorff dimension for metric spaces. We prove Theorem 1.1 in section 4, Theorem 1.2 in section 5, Theorem 1.3 in section 6, and Theorem 1.4 in section 7.

Acknowledgements: The author thanks Steve Hurder for useful discussions, and the anonymous referee of the first version of this paper for suggesting a simplification of the proof of Theorem 1.1. This revised version of the first version of this work also includes the new results in Sections 5 and 6.

2. Pseudogroup action on the space of pointed trees

Let F_n be a free group on n generators, acting on itself on the right, and let F_n^{1+} denote a non-symmetric set of generators of F_n. That is, if $h \in F_n^{1+}$ then $h^{-1} \notin F_n^{1+}$. Let $\mathcal{G}_n$ be the Cayley graph of F_n. More precisely, the set of vertices is $V(\mathcal{G}_n) = F_n$, and to each pair $g_1, g_2 \in V(\mathcal{G}_n)$ such that $g_1 h = g_2$ for some $h \in F_n^{1+}$, we associate an edge w_h, labelled by $h \in F_n^{1+}$, with $s(w_h) = g_1$ and $t(w_h) = g_2$. Thus $\mathcal{G}_n$ is an oriented graph labeled by the set F_n^{1+}. Parametrize the edges of $\mathcal{G}_n$ so that each edge has length 1, and denote by d the metric on $\mathcal{G}_n$ such that $d(v, w)$ is the length of the shortest path between v and $w \in \mathcal{G}_n$.

A subgraph $T \subset \mathcal{G}_n$ is called an *infinite tree* if it is non-compact and connected. Denote by X_n be the set of all infinite trees in $\mathcal{G}_n$ containing the identity $e \in F_n$. As a subset of $\mathcal{G}_n$, the tree $T \in X$ has the induced metric d. The pair $(T, e) \in X$ with metric d is a pointed metric space, or a *pointed tree*.

DEFINITION 2.1. *Two (possibly finite) pointed graphs (T, g_1) and (T', g_2) are F_n^{1+}-* isomorphic *if and only if there exists an isometry $(T, g_1) \to (T', g_2)$ which maps g_1 onto g_2, such that the edge w_h marked by h in T is mapped onto the edge w'_h marked by h in T', with $s(w_h)$ and $t(w_h)$ mapped onto $s(w'_h)$ and $t(w'_h)$ respectively.*

Definition 2.1 states that two pointed graphs (T, g_1) and (T', g_2) are F_n^{1+}-isomorphic if and only if they have the same patterns of labelled edges relative to the basepoints g_1 and g_2.

The space X_n of pointed trees is given a metric as follows. Let $D_T(e, r)$ denote a closed ball in T about e of radius r. We call such a ball in the tree T a 'pattern of radius r'.

DEFINITION 2.2. [16, 1, 27] *Let X_n be the set of all infinite pointed trees in a locally compact Cayley graph $\mathcal{G}_n$. Let $T, T' \in X_n$, and define the distance between T and T' by*

(2)
$$d_n(T, T') = e^{-r(T,T')}, \quad r(T, T') = \max\{r \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{0\} \mid \exists\ F_n^{1+}\text{−isomorphism } B_T(e, r) \to B_{T'}(e, r)\}.$$

The metric d_X is called the *ball metric*. In this metric, given T and T' in X, we compare them on patterns around the basepoint e, and the distance between T and T' depends on the maximal radius of the pattern on which the corresponding subgraphs of T and T' are F_n^{1+}-isomorphic.

REMARK 2.3. In most computations which use the metric d_n in Definition 2.2, one can restrict to integer values of r for the following reason. Let $r > 0$ and let $m = \lceil r \rceil$ be the smallest integer which is greater or equal to r. Consider balls of radius r in trees T and T'. The boundaries of $D_T(e, r)$ and $D_{T'}(e, r)$ intersect the edges in T and T' in their interior, and there is a F_n^{1+}-isomorphism $D_T(e, r) \to D_{T'}(e, r)$ if and only if there is a F_n^{1+}-isomorphism $D_T(e, m) \to D_{T'}(e, m)$. Using this property, in section 3 we restrict to integer radii when computing distances between the trees in X_n.

Another consequence is that if $r, r' > 0$ are such that $\lceil r \rceil = \lceil r' \rceil$, then the following sets are equal for any tree $T \in X$

$$\{T' \mid d_X(T', T) < e^{-r}\} = \{T' \mid d_X(T', T) < e^{-r'}\}, \tag{3}$$

and, for $m = \lceil r \rceil$,

$$\{T' \mid d_X(T', T) < e^{-r}\} = \{T' \mid d_X(T', T) \leq e^{-r}\} = \{T' \mid d_X(T', T) \leq e^{-m}\}. \tag{4}$$

REMARK 2.4. In Definition 2.2 finite subgraphs of $\mathcal{G}_n$ were excluded from consideration for the following reason. Any finite subgraph $\mathcal{G}_n$ is an isolated point with respect to the ball metric d_n, and no interesting dynamics would happen on these isolated points.

REMARK 2.5. The metric (2) is an ultrametric, that is, for any $T_1, T_2, T_3 \in X_n$

$$d_n(T_1, T_3) = \max\{d_n(T_1, T_2), d_X(T_2, T_3)\}.$$

We also note that, since d_n is an ultrametric, the radius of an open ball in X_n is equal to its diameter, and any open ball is also closed (see Remark 2.3, formula (4)).

One can show [16, 27, 5] that the metric space (X_n, d_n) is compact and totally disconnected. Since we assume that the number of generators $n \geq 2$, the space X_n is perfect, and so is a Cantor set.

There is a partial action of F_n on the space of pointed trees X_n, defined as follows.

DEFINITION 2.6. *Let F_n be a free group with non-symmetric generating set F_n^{1+}, and let (X_n, d_n) be the corresponding set of pointed trees. Then for $g \in F_n$,*

(1) $(T, e) \cdot g$ is defined if and only if $g \in V(T)$, where $V(T) \subset F_n$ is the set of vertices of T.
(2) $(T', e) = (T, e) \cdot g$ if and only if there is an F_n^{1+}-isomorphism $\alpha : (T, g) \to (T', e)$.

In this definition $(T, e) \cdot g = (T', e)$ if and only if T' has the same pattern of labeled edges relative to e as the pattern of labeled edges in T relative to g.

To the partial action of F_n on X_n in Definition 2.6 we can associate a pseudogroup of local homeomorphisms Γ_n as follows. For an integer $m > 0$ (see Remark 2.3 for the justification of the restriction to integers) denote by $D_n(T, e^{-m})$ a clopen subset of diameter e^{-m} about (T, e), that is,

$$D_n(T, e^{-m}) = \left\{(T', e) \mid d_X(T, T') \leq e^{-m}\right\}.$$

For each $g \in F_n$ let $\ell_g = d(e, g)$, where ℓ_g is the distance between e and g in the word metric on the group F_n. There is a finite number of subgraphs of $\mathcal{G}_n$ of radius ℓ_g, which contain g, so by Property (1) in Definition 2.6 the action of g is defined on the finite union of clopen subsets

$$D = \bigcup \left\{D_n(T, e^{-\ell_g}) \mid T \in X_n,\ g \in V(T)\right\}. \tag{5}$$

The mapping

$$\gamma_g : \mathrm{dom}(\gamma_g) = D \to X_n : (T, e) \mapsto (T, e) \cdot g$$

is a homeomorphism onto its image, and a pseudogroup Γ_n is defined to be a collection

$$\Gamma_n = \bigsqcup \{\ \gamma_g \mid g \in F_n\ \} \tag{6}$$

of local homeomorphisms (see [8] for more details about pseudogroups). The subset $\Gamma_n^1 = \{\ \gamma_g \in \Gamma_n \mid g \in F_n^{1+}\ \}$ is a generating set of Γ_n.

REMARK 2.7. An easy computation shows that the generators in Γ_n^1 are Lipschitz maps. Indeed, if $T, T' \in \mathrm{dom}(\gamma_g)$, $g \in F_n^{1+}$, and $d_X(T, T') = e^{-m}$, then

$$d_n(\gamma_g(T), \gamma_g(T')) \leq e^{-(m-1)},$$

and so γ_g is Lipschitz with $C = e$.

DEFINITION 2.8. *Let (X_n, d_n) be the space of pointed trees, and Γ_n be the pseudogroup on X_n. Then the orbit of a graph $(T, e) \in X_n$ is the set*

$$O(T) = \{T' \in X_n | \text{ there exists } \gamma_g \in \Gamma \text{ such that } \gamma_g(T, e) = (T', e)\}.$$

3. The box and the Hausdorff dimensions

In this section, we define the Hausdorff dimension, and the lower and upper box dimensions, for the metric space of pointed graphs (X_n, d_n), associated to the free group F_n, for $n \geq 2$. See [13, 14, 34] for further details on the properties of these dimensions.

Let $\mathcal{U}$ be the collection of all open subsets in the space of pointed graphs (X_n, d_n), $n \geq 2$. Let $Z \subset X_n$ be a subset of X_n, and let the numbers $\alpha > 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Since X_n is compact,

there always exists a finite subcollection $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{U}$ of open sets of diameter at most ϵ, whose union contains Z (since the closure of Z is compact in X_n). Therefore, we can define a function

$$M_H(Z, \alpha, \epsilon) = \inf_{\mathcal{V}} \left\{ \sum_{V \in \mathcal{V}} (\text{diam } V)^\alpha \right\}, \tag{7}$$

where the infimum is taken over all finite or countable subcollections $\mathcal{V}$ of open sets of diameter at most ϵ which cover Z. We define

$$m_H(Z, \alpha) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} M_H(Z, \alpha, \epsilon). \tag{8}$$

Since $M_H(Z, \alpha, \epsilon)$ is non-decreasing when ϵ decreases, this limit exists or is infinite, and $m_H(Z, \alpha)$ is known as the *α-Hausdorff outer measure* of Z. By [34, Proposition 1.2], we have that for a set Z, there exists a critical value α_H, called the *Hausdorff dimension* of Z, such that

$$\dim_H Z = \alpha_H = \inf \{\alpha : m_H(Z, \alpha) = 0\} = \sup \{\alpha : m_H(Z, \alpha) = \infty\}. \tag{9}$$

The definition of the Hausdorff dimension uses covers by arbitrary open sets with bounded diameters. In actual computations it may be easier to deal with *open balls* around a point in the space. It is an exercise to show that, for a metric space, the Hausdorff dimension of sets does not change if one considers a collection of open balls $\mathcal{B}$ in X_n instead of all open sets. So in our computations in the rest of the paper, we consider the collection of *open balls* instead of arbitrary open sets.

By Remark 2.3, open balls in (X_n, d_n) are also closed, and we can write

$$D_n(T, e^{-m}) = \{T' \in X_n \mid d_n(T, T') \leq e^{-m} \}, \ m \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{10}$$

We list a few basic properties of the Hausdorff dimension which are useful in what follows. Details may be found in [34, Theorem 6.1].

PROPOSITION 3.1. [34] *The following are true for the Hausdorff dimension of a subset $Z \subset X_n$.*

(1) $\dim_H \emptyset = 0$*;* $\dim_H Z \geq 0$ *for any Z.*
(2) If $Z_1 \subset Z_2$, then $\dim_H(Z_1) \leq \dim_H(Z_2)$.
(3) $\dim_H(\bigcup_{i \geq 1} Z_i) = \sup_{i \geq 1} \dim_H(Z_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots$.
(4) If Z is a finite or a countable set, then $\dim_H(Z) = 0$.

Now let

$$R(Z, \alpha, \epsilon) = \inf_{\mathcal{V}} \left\{ \sum_{V \in \mathcal{V}} (\text{diam } V)^\alpha \right\}, \tag{11}$$

where the infimum is taken over all finite or countable subcollections $\mathcal{V}$ of open sets *of diameter precisely* ϵ which cover Z. We define

$$\underline{r}(Z, \alpha) = \liminf_{\epsilon \to 0} R(Z, \alpha, \epsilon), \qquad \overline{r}(Z, \alpha) = \limsup_{\epsilon \to 0} R(Z, \alpha, \epsilon). \tag{12}$$

By [34, Proposition 1.2], there exist numbers

$$\underline{\dim}_B Z = \inf\{\alpha \mid \underline{r}(Z, \alpha) = 0\} = \sup\{\alpha \mid \underline{r}(Z, \alpha) = \infty\}$$
$$\overline{\dim}_B Z = \inf\{\alpha \mid \overline{r}(Z, \alpha) = 0\} = \sup\{\alpha \mid \overline{r}(Z, \alpha) = \infty\},$$

called the *lower* and the *upper box dimensions* of the set Z respectively. By [34, Theorem 6.2] we have the following properties.

PROPOSITION 3.2. [34] *For any set $Z \subset X_n$, we have*

(1) $\underline{\dim}_B Z \leq \overline{\dim}_B Z \leq \dim_H Z$,
(2) If $Z_1 \subset Z_2$, then $\underline{\dim}_B Z_1 \leq \underline{\dim}_B Z_2$ *and* $\overline{\dim}_B Z_1 \leq \overline{\dim}_B Z_2$,
(3) $\underline{\dim}_B(\bigcup_{i \geq 1} Z_i) = \sup_{i \geq 1} \underline{\dim}_B(Z_i)$, *and* $\overline{\dim}_B(\bigcup_{i \geq 1} Z_i) = \sup_{i \geq 1} \overline{\dim}_B(Z_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots$.
(4) $\underline{\dim}_B Z = \underline{\dim}_B \overline{Z}$, *and* $\overline{\dim}_B Z = \overline{\dim}_B \overline{Z}$, *where $\overline{Z}$ denotes the closure of Z.*

For any $\epsilon > 0$ and any $Z \subset X_n$, denote

$$\Lambda(Z, \epsilon) = \inf_{\mathcal{V}} \{\text{card}\{\mathcal{V}\}\},$$

where $\mathcal{V}$ runs over all finite or countable subcollections $\mathcal{V}$ of open sets of diameter precisely $\epsilon = e^{-m}$ which cover Z. Then by [34, Theorem 2.2] we have

$$\underline{\dim}_B Z = \liminf_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{\log \Lambda(Z, \epsilon)}{\log(1/\epsilon)} = \liminf_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{m} \log \Lambda(Z, e^{-m}), \tag{13}$$

$$\overline{\dim}_B Z = \limsup_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{\log \Lambda(Z, \epsilon)}{\log(1/\epsilon)} = \limsup_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{m} \log \Lambda(Z, e^{-m}). \tag{14}$$

In the rest of the paper, we are interested in the Hausdorff and box dimensions of invariant subsets in the space X_n of pointed trees.

4. Hausdorff dimension of the space of pointed trees

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1, that (X_n, d_n) has infinite Hausdorff dimension. We give the proof for $n = 2$ in Theorem 4.1, then the proof for $n > 2$ is a consequence of Theorems 4.1, as shown in Corollary 4.4.

Let us first fix some terminology. In the following, we use two types of metric spaces: graphs $T \subset \mathcal{G}_2$ with length metric denoted by d, and the space X_2 with the ultrametric d_2.

Let $D_T(e, m)$ denote the *closed* ball of radius m in the graph T about the basepoint e, with respect to metric d on T. We say that $D_T(e, m)$ is a *pattern* defined by T, as this is just a subgraph of T. The subscript T in the notation indicates that $D_T(e, m)$ is a pattern determined by T.

We reserve the word 'ball' for clopen balls in X_2, where $D_2(T, e^{-m})$ denotes the ball of radius e^{-m} about T in the space X_2. Such a ball contains all pointed trees T', which contain the same pattern of radius m as T relative to the basepoints e. Since d_2 is an ultrametric, the diameter of $D_2(T, e^{-m})$ is also e^{-m} [19].

THEOREM 4.1. *Let F_2 be a free group on 2 generators, and let (X_2, d_2) be the corresponding space of pointed graphs with the ball metric. Then $\dim_H(X_2) = \infty$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{U}$ be a cover of X_2 by clopen balls of diameter at most e^{-m}. Since X_2 is compact, the cover $\mathcal{U}$ has a finite subcover, so without loss of generality, we can assume that $\mathcal{U}$ is a finite cover. In fact, we can assume that $\mathcal{U}$ is a partition of X_2.

LEMMA 4.2. *Let $\mathcal{U}$ be a finite cover of X_2. Then there is a subordinate partition $\mathcal{V}$ of X_2 which covers X_2. That is, for every $V \in \mathcal{V}$ we have $V \in \mathcal{U}$, the sets in $\mathcal{V}$ are pairwise disjoint, and $X_2 = \bigcup_{V \in \mathcal{V}} V$.*

Proof. Let $U, W \in \mathcal{U}$ be clopen sets with $U \cap W \neq \emptyset$, if such a clopen set W exists. Since d_2 is an ultrametric, if $\text{diam}(U) = e^{-m}$, and $\text{diam}(W) \leq e^{-m}$, then $W \subseteq U$. Now let $\mathcal{U}'$ be a collection which contains all clopen balls in $\mathcal{U}$ except the ball W. Since $\mathcal{U}'$ contains the ball U, the collection $\mathcal{U}'$ is still a cover of X_2. By repeating this procedure a finite number of times (since $\mathcal{U}$ is a finite cover), we can obtain a cover $\mathcal{V}$ of X_2 which is a partition of X_2. □

Since the cover $\mathcal{V}$ obtained in Lemma 4.2 if formed from a subcollection of sets in $\mathcal{U}$, we have

$$\sum_{U \in \mathcal{V}} (\text{diam } U)^\alpha \leq \sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}} (\text{diam } U)^\alpha.$$

So to compute the Hausdorff dimension of X_2 we can restrict to finite partitions of X_2, and from now on, all covers we consider are finite partitions.

Recall that the tree T_2 has valence 4. That is, there are 4 adjacent edges at every vertex. An infinite subtree $T \subset T_2$ then has either 2, 3 or 4 adjacent edges at each vertex of T. The description of all

such infinite subtrees of T_2 is thus extremely complicated, and it likewise follows that the task of describing all finite covers of T_2 becomes increasingly complex as the radius of the balls in the cover tends to zero. Following a suggestion of the anonymous referee, we simplify this task of describing finite covers by considering the Hausdorff dimension of a closed subset $Z \subset X_2$ which has much simplified combinatorics, and which we show has infinite Hausdorff dimension. The claim that X_2 has infinite Hausdorff dimension then follows.

Consider a subset Z of X_2, such that $T \in Z$ if and only if each vertex $v \in V(T)$ has precisely three adjacent edges.

We note that Z is a closed, and, therefore, compact, subset of X_2. Indeed, if T is an accumulation point of Z, then any vertex in T has precisely three adjacent edges, and so $T \in Z$. We will show that $\dim_H Z = \infty$, and then by Proposition 3.1 $\dim_H X_2 = \infty$.

First we establish a few estimates. Given a pattern P of radius $(m-1)$ and a tree $T_P \in Z$ containing P, we estimate the number of clopen balls of diameter e^{-m} which are needed to cover a clopen ball $D_2(T_P, e^{-(m-1)})$ about T_P.

In the proof of the lemma, and further on, we use a notion of the '$(m-1)$-boundary of a pattern P', which is denoted by $\partial_{m-1}P$. If P has radius $(m-1)$, then $\partial_{m-1}P$ consists of the vertices in P which are at the distance precisely $(m-1)$ from the basepoint e.

LEMMA 4.3. *Let $P \subset \mathcal{G}_2$ be a pattern of radius $(m-1)$, such that every interior vertex in P has precisely three adjacent edges, and let $T_P \in Z$ be a tree containing this pattern. Let*

$$D_2(T_P, e^{-(m-1)}) = \{T' \in \mathcal{G}_2 \mid d_2(T', T_P) \leq e^{-(m-1)}\}$$

be a clopen ball around T_P. Then

1. *(1)* $\mathrm{card}(\partial_{m-1}P) = 3 \cdot 2^{m-2}$.
2. *(2) The number of clopen balls of diameter e^{-m} in Z which are needed to cover $D_2(T_P, e^{-(m-1)})$ is given by*

$$S_{P,m} = 3^{3 \cdot 2^{m-2}}. \tag{15}$$

Proof. First let us determine the cardinality of the metric boundary of P. Notice that the metric boundary of a ball of radius 1 inside P contains 3 vertices, and to each vertex one attaches precisely 2 edges. So the metric boundary of a ball of radius 2 contains $3 \cdot 2$ vertices, and, inductively, the metric boundary of a ball of radius $m-1$ in P contains precisely $K = 3 \cdot 2^{m-2}$ vertices.

To determine how many clopen balls in Z of diameter e^{-m} are contained in $D_2(T_P, e^{-(m-1)})$, we consider all possible patterns Q of radius m which coincide with P on a subset of radius $(m-1)$ around the identity vertex, and which have precisely 3 edges attached to any interior vertex. Each such distinct pattern Q corresponds to a distinct subset of $D_2(T_P, e^{-(m-1)})$ of diameter e^{-m}, and the number of such distinct patterns Q corresponds to the number of clopen balls of diameter e^{-m}, which are needed to cover $D_2(T_P, e^{-(m-1)})$. Denote this number by $S_{P,m}$, and let us compute $S_{P,m}$.

Given P, we obtain Q by attaching precisely 2 edges to every vertex in $\partial_{m-1}P$. Since every vertex in $\mathcal{G}_2$ has 4 adjacent edges, there is precisely 3 distinct ways to attach 2 edges to a vertex in $\partial_{m-1}P$. Therefore,

$$S_{P,m} = 3^{3 \cdot 2^{m-2}}. \tag{16}$$

□

As the first application, we compute the number of clopen balls of diameter exactly e^{-m} which are needed to cover Z, and compute the lower box dimension of Z. There are precisely 4 distinct patterns of radius 1 in the trees in Z, so there are 4 clopen balls of diameter e^{-1} needed to cover Z. Each of these clopen sets is covered by $3^{3 \cdot 2^0}$ clopen balls of radius e^{-2}, so Z is partitioned into

$4 \cdot 3^3$ clopen balls of diameter e^{-2}; inductively, we obtain that a partition of Z into clopen balls of diameter e^{-m} consists of

$$\Lambda(Z, e^{-m}) = 4 * 3^{3(1+2+2^2+\cdots+2^{m-2})} = 4 \cdot 3^{3(2^{m-1}-1)}$$

sets. Also note that

$$4 \cdot 3^{3 \cdot 2^{m-2}} < 4 \cdot 3^{3(2^{m-1}-1)} < 4 \cdot 3^{3(2^{m-1})},$$

and, since $\lim_{m\to\infty} \frac{1}{m} \log 4 \cdot 3^{3 \cdot 2^{m-2}} = \infty$, we have $\underline{\dim}_B Z = \infty$.

We now show that $\dim_H Z = \infty$. First notice that since any cover of Z is finite, there is only a finite number of set diameters which can occur in this cover. Let $e^{-(m+s)}$ be the minimal diameter, then we can write

$$\sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}} (\text{diam } U)^\alpha = N_m e^{-m\alpha} + N_{m+1} e^{-(m+1)\alpha} + \cdots + N_{m+s} e^{-(m+s)\alpha}, \tag{17}$$

where $N_{m_i} \geq 0$. If $\dim_H Z = d < \infty$ is finite, for any $\alpha > d$ we have

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \inf_{\mathcal{U}_m} \sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}_m} (\text{diam}(U))^\alpha = 0, \tag{18}$$

where $\mathcal{U}_m$ runs through all finite partitions of Z by clopen balls of diameter at most e^{-m}. The convergence of the limit (18) to zero implies that there exists a sequence of real numbers $0 < \varepsilon_m < 1$ such that $\lim_{m\to\infty} \varepsilon_m = 0$ and for any $m > 0$,

$$\inf_{\mathcal{U}_m} \sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}_m} (\text{diam}(U))^\alpha < \varepsilon_m.$$

Then for any m there exists a finite partition $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_m$ of Z such that, for some $0 < \epsilon < \varepsilon_m$ we have

$$\inf_{\mathcal{U}_m} \sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}_m} (\text{diam}(U))^\alpha \leq \sum_{U \in \widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_m} (\text{diam}(U))^\alpha < \inf_{\mathcal{U}_m} \sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}_m} (\text{diam}(U))^\alpha + \epsilon < \varepsilon_m. \tag{19}$$

The partition $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_m$ satisfies the equation (17), and combining that with (19) we obtain

$$N_{m+i} e^{-(m+i)\alpha} < \varepsilon_m < 1, \tag{20}$$

for each $1 \leq i \leq s$. This yields

$$N_{m+i} < e^{(m+i)\alpha}, \ 1 \leq i \leq s. \tag{21}$$

That is, the number of clopen sets N_{m+i} of diameter $e^{-(m+i)}$ in $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_m$ must grow at most exponentially with i. However, by (16) a clopen ball of diameter $e^{-(m+i)}$ is subdivided into $3^{3 \cdot 2^{(m+i-1)}}$ clopen balls of diameter $e^{-(m+i+1)}$, that is, the number of clopen sets of diameter $e^{-(m+i+1)}$ required to cover a clopen set of diameter $e^{-(m+i)}$ grows superexponentially. It follows that the cover with property (18) cannot exist, and so $\dim_H Z = \infty$. □

The statement of Theorem 1.1 for $n > 2$ is given by the following corollary.

COROLLARY 4.4. *For $n \geq 2$, the Hausdorff dimension of X_n is infinite, i.e.* $\dim_H(X_n) = \infty$.

Proof. Let $\langle id, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \rangle$ be a non-symmetric generating set for F_n, that is, such that a_i^{-1} is not in the set for $i = 1, \ldots, n$, and let $\langle id, a_1, a_2 \rangle$ be a generating set with the same property for F_2.

Define a homomorphism $\phi : F_2 \to F_n$ by sending the generators a_i, $i = 1, 2$, to distinct generators of F_n. This homomorphism induces an embedding $\tilde{\phi} : V(\mathcal{G}_2) \to V(\mathcal{G}_n)$, where $\mathcal{G}_2$ and $\mathcal{G}_n$ are the Cayley graphs of F_2 and F_n respectively, and $V(\mathcal{G}_n)$ denotes the set of vertices of $\mathcal{G}_n$, $n \geq 2$.

Then to every subgraph $T \subset \mathcal{G}_2$ one can associate a subgraph $\tilde{\phi}(T)$ of $\mathcal{G}_n$, and there is an injection

$$\Phi : X_2 \to X_n : T \mapsto \tilde{\phi}(T) \tag{22}$$

on the corresponding spaces of trees. By construction, if T and T' in X_2 contain the same pattern of radius m, their images $\tilde{\phi}(T)$ and $\tilde{\phi}(T')$ in X_n would also contain the same pattern of radius m. It follows that Φ is an isometry with respect to the metrics d_2 on X_2 and d_n on X_n.

An isometry is a bi-Lipschitz map, and so it preserves the Hausdorff dimension of sets [34],

$$\dim_H(\Phi(X_2)) = \dim_H(X_2) = \infty. \tag{23}$$

Then $\dim_H(X_n) = \infty$, by Property (2) in Proposition 3.1. □

5. Hölder embeddings of shift spaces

In this section, we consider the Hausdorff dimensions of the images of the embeddings of the standard shift spaces into the pseudogroup dynamical systems (X_n, Γ_n) for $n \geq 2$, as constructed by Lozano Rojo and the author in [28].

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a finite alphabet, and let $\Sigma = \{\sigma : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathcal{A}\}$ be the space with the shift action of $\mathbb{Z}$; that is, for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\sigma(m) \cdot k = \sigma(k + m), \text{ for all } m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

A basis for the topology on Σ is formed by cylinder sets

$$[s_0, s_1, \ldots, s_\ell]_t = \{\sigma \in \Sigma \mid \sigma(t) = s_0, \ldots, \sigma(t + \ell) = s_\ell\}. \tag{24}$$

Recall the construction of the embedding of Σ into X_n, $n \geq 2$, from [28].

Let $n \geq \text{card}(\mathcal{A})$. Choose an injective map $\alpha : \mathcal{A} \to F_n^{1+}$, where F_n^{1+} is the 'positive part' of the symmetric generating set F_n^1 of F_n. More precisely, if $g \in F_n^{1+}$, then $g^{-1} \notin F_n^{1+}$. Construct a map

$$\Phi_\alpha : \Sigma \to X_n \tag{25}$$

inductively as follows.

Let $\sigma \in \Sigma$, and denote $\sigma_k = \sigma \cdot k$. Set $\mathbb{Z}^0 = \{0\}$, and $\mathbb{Z}^{\leq i} = \{-i, -(i-1), \ldots, i-1, i\}$. Let $K_0 = \{e\} \subset \mathcal{G}_n$ to be a graph consisting of a single vertex e and no edges, and define

$$\lambda_0 : \mathbb{Z}^{\leq 0} = \mathbb{Z}^0 \to V(K_0) : 0 \mapsto e.$$

By induction, suppose we have a connected subgraph $K_{i-1} \subset \mathcal{G}_n$ containing e, and a bijection $\lambda_{i-1} : \mathbb{Z}^{\leq i-1} \to V(K_{i-1})$ such that for $-(i-1) < k \leq i-1$ we have

$$\lambda_{i-1}(k) = \lambda_{i-1}(k-1)\alpha(\sigma_{k-1}(e)),$$

where the juxtaposition denotes the group operation in F_n. Then obtain a map

$$\lambda_i : \mathbb{Z}^{\leq i} \to V(K_i)$$

as follows. Note that $\mathbb{Z}^{\leq i-1} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{\leq i}$, and for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{\leq i-1}$ set $\lambda_i(k) = \lambda_{i-1}(k)$. Then define

$$\lambda_i(i) = \lambda_{i-1}(i-1)\alpha(\sigma_i(e)), \text{ and } \lambda_i(-i) = \lambda_{i-1}(i-1)(\alpha(\sigma_{i-1}(e)))^{-1}.$$

Then let K_i be a subgraph of $\mathcal{G}_n$ with the set of vertices $V(K_i) = \{\lambda_i(\mathbb{Z}^{\leq i})\}$. Then define

$$\Phi_\alpha(\sigma) = T_\sigma = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} K_i. \tag{26}$$

It is proved in [28] that the map (25) is an equivariant embedding. This embedding depends on the choice of the map α. For every tree $T_\sigma \in \Phi_\alpha(\Sigma)$ the vertices in $V(T_\sigma)$ have valence two, that is, every vertex is adjacent to precisely 2 edges, one outgoing, and one incoming. The labels of the edges are the generators in the image of α in F_n^{1+}.

A metric on Σ generating the topology, can be given, for example, by [25]

$$d(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) = 2^{-k}, \text{ where } k = \max\{m \mid \sigma_1(i) = \sigma_2(i) \text{ for } |i| \leq m\}.$$

It is a well-known that

$$0 < \dim_H(\Sigma) = \underline{\dim}_B(\Sigma) = \overline{\dim}_B(\Sigma) < \infty.$$

We now restate and prove Theorem 1.2.

THEOREM 5.1. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ is a finite alphabet, and $\Sigma = \{\sigma : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathcal{A}\}$ be a shift space. Suppose there is an equivariant embedding $\Phi : \Sigma \to X_n$ as in* [28]. *Then Φ is Hölder continuous, and, moreover,*

$$0 < \dim_H \Phi(\Sigma) = \underline{\dim}_B \Phi(\Sigma) = \overline{\dim}_B\ \Phi(\Sigma) < \infty. \tag{27}$$

Proof. The embedding $\Phi : (\Sigma, d) \to (X_n, d_n)$ is Hölder continuous if there exists constants $C > 0$ and $0 < \gamma < 1$ such that for any $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \Sigma$ we have

$$d_n(\Phi(\sigma_1), \Phi(\sigma_2)) \leq C d(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)^\gamma.$$

Notice that $d(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) = 2^{-k}$ if and only if $d_2(\Phi(\sigma_1), \Phi(\sigma_2)) = e^{-k}$. Then Φ is Hölder continuous with $C = 1$ and $\gamma = 1/\ln 2$. Therefore,

$$\dim_H \Phi(\Sigma) \leq \ln 2 \dim_H \Sigma < \infty.$$

The inverse $\Phi^{-1} : \Phi(\Sigma) \to \Sigma$ from the image of the embedding to Σ is Hölder continuous with $C = 1$ and $\gamma = \ln 2$, we obtain

$$0 < \dim_H \Sigma \leq 1/\ln 2 \dim_H \Phi(\Sigma),$$

and the estimate (27) follows. □

6. Subsets of the space of pointed graphs

In this section, we consider the Hausdorff and box dimensions of several classes of Γ_n-invariant closed transitive subsets in X_n. This will yield a proof of Theorem 1.3, which we restate below.

THEOREM 6.1. *The space (X_n, d_n) contains closed subsets, invariant under the action of the pseudogroup Γ_n, with the following properties:*

1. *The dense meager subset $\mathcal{C} \subset X_n$ of trees with periodic orbits in X_n has zero Hausdorff dimension, and infinite box dimension.*
2. *The set $\mathcal{I}$ of closed transitive invariant subsets of X_n with zero Hausdorff dimension and positive box dimension is dense in X_n.*
3. *The set $\mathcal{J}$ of closed transitive invariant subsets of X_n with non-zero Hausdorff dimension is dense in X_n.*

Recall that $O(T) = \{(T, e) \cdot g\}_{g \in \Gamma}$ is the orbit of T in (X_n, d_n) under the action of Γ, and let

$$\mathcal{C} = \{(T, e) \in X_n \mid O(T) \text{ is finite } \}.$$

Recall from [29] that $\mathcal{C}$ is dense in X_n.

The following result shows part (1) of Theorem 6.1.

PROPOSITION 6.2. *The set $\mathcal{C} \subset X_n$ has Hausdorff dimension zero.*

Proof. For each $T \in \mathcal{C}$, the orbit $O(T)$ is a finite set and so $\dim_H(O(T)) = \underline{\dim}_B(O(T)) = \overline{\dim}_B(O(T)) = 0$. It follows from [29, Proposition 3.3] that $\mathcal{C}$ is a countable union of finite sets, and so we have that $\mathcal{C}$ has Hausdorff dimension zero, namely, [34], see also Proposition 3.1,

$$\dim_H \mathcal{C} = \dim_H \left(\bigcup_i \{O(T)\} \right) = \sup_i \dim_H(O(T)) = 0.$$

Since $\mathcal{C}$ is dense in X_n, then by Proposition 3.2 and Theorem 1.1

$$\underline{\dim}_B\ \mathcal{C} = \underline{\dim}_B\ \overline{\mathcal{C}} = \underline{\dim}_B X_n = \infty.$$

□

The following result shows part (2) of Theorem 6.1.

PROPOSITION 6.3. *The set of closed invariant transitive subsets with zero Hausdorff dimension and positive box dimension is dense in (X_n, d_n).*

Proof. We first construct a specific example of a closed invariant set with zero Hausdorff dimension and positive lower box dimension, and then show that a set with similar properties intersects every clopen subset in X_n.

First, start with the space (X_2, d_2), choose a generator $a \in F_2$, and let L_2 be a subgraph of $\mathcal{G}_2$ with the set of vertices

$$V(L_2) = \{e\} \cup \{amg \mid m \neq a^{-1},\ mg \in \mathcal{G}_2 \text{ is a reduced word }\}.$$

That is, L_2 is a 'branch' of $\mathcal{G}_2$. It was shown in [29] that $\overline{O(L_2)}$ consists of two orbits, namely $O(L_2)$ and a periodic orbit, where the orbit $O(L_2)$ has exponential growth. Since $O(L_2)$ is countable, and the periodic orbit in the closure is finite, we have $\dim_H(\overline{O(L_2)}) = 0$.

Now compute the box dimension of $\overline{O(L_2)}$. For that for each $\ell > 0$ we count the number of clopen balls of radius precisely $e^{-\ell}$ which are required to cover $\overline{O(L_2)}$.

For every $\ell > 0$ the number of vertices in L_2 which are at the distance precisely ℓ from the origin e is $3^{\ell-1}$, and the number of vertices in a ball of radius ℓ centered at the origin is

$$A_\ell = 1 + 3 + 3^2 + \ldots 3^{\ell-1} = \frac{1}{2}(3^\ell - 1).$$

If a vertex $g \in int(D_{L_2}(e, \ell))$, then $d(e, g) < \ell$, and every graph $D_{L_2}(g, \ell)$ is a pattern distinct from those centered at other interior vertices in this ball. If $g \in \partial D_{L_2}(e, \ell)$, the metric boundary of the ball, then $d(e, g) = \ell$, and there is an isomorphism $D_{L_2}(g, \ell) \to D_{\mathcal{G}_2}(e, \ell)$. If $g \notin D_{L_2}(e, \ell)$, then there is an isomorphism $D_{L_2}(g, \ell) \to D_{\mathcal{G}_2}(e, \ell)$ as well. Thus the number $\kappa(\overline{O(L_2)}, e^{-\ell})$ of clopen sets of diameter $e^{-\ell}$ required to cover $\overline{O(L_2)}$ is equal to the number of vertices in the interior of $D_{L_2}(e, \ell)$ plus one, i.e.

$$\kappa(\overline{O(L_2)}, e^{-\ell}) = \frac{1}{2}(3^{\ell-1} - 1) + 1 = \frac{1}{2}(3^{\ell-1} + 1).$$

Then

$$\frac{(\ell - 2)\ln 3}{\ell} = \frac{\ln \frac{1}{3}(3^{\ell-1})}{\ell} \leq \frac{\ln \frac{1}{2}(3^{\ell-1} + 1)}{\ln e^\ell} \leq \frac{\ell \ln 3}{\ell}$$

and by (13) and (14) we have

$$\underline{\dim}_B \overline{O(L_2)} = \overline{\dim}_B \overline{O(L_2)} = \ln 3.$$

Now consider (X_n, d_n) and let $\mathcal{P} \subset D_{\mathcal{G}_n}(e, \ell)$ be a pattern of radius ℓ. Note that $\mathcal{P}$ has at least one vertex $v \in \partial D_{\mathcal{P}}(e, \ell)$ in its metric boundary. Now construct a tree $T \supset \mathcal{P}$ as follows. Let e_h be an edge in the interior of $\mathcal{P}$ with endpoint v. Set $k = 1$ if $v = t(e_h)$, and set $k = -1$ if $v = s(e_h)$. Let

$$V(T) = V(\mathcal{P}) \cup \{vh^k mg \mid m \neq h^k,\ mg \in \mathcal{G}_n \text{ is a reduced word }\}.$$

We can think of T as a finite pattern $\mathcal{P}$ with a 'branch' of the graph $\mathcal{G}_n$ attached at one of the vertices in the metric boundary $\partial D_{\mathcal{P}}(e, \ell)$. It is clear that for any $g \in V(T)$ we have $(T, e) \cdot g \neq (T, e)$. Note that v has precisely 2 adjacent edges.

Consider $L = \overline{O(T)}$. We are going to show that L contains just two orbits, and so it is countable.

Let $T' \in L$. Then for every $k > 0$ there exists $v_k \in V(T)$ such that there is an isomorphism $D_{T'}(e, k) \to D_T(v_k, k)$. Let $k = 2\ell + 1$, where ℓ is the radius of the pattern $\mathcal{P}$. If $D_T(v_{2\ell+1}, 2\ell + 1)$ does not contain the vertex v, then $D_T(v_{2\ell+1}, 2\ell + 1)$ is isomorphic $D_{\mathcal{G}_n}(e, 2\ell + 1)$. Then either $D_T(v_k, k)$ is isomorphic to $D_{\mathcal{G}_n}(e, k)$ for all $k > 2\ell + 1$, in which case $T' = \mathcal{G}_n$, or $D_T(v_k, k)$ contains v for some $k > 2\ell + 1$. Let $\lambda = d(v_k, v)$, and notice that for all $m > k$ we then have $d(v_m, v) = \lambda$, and so $v_m = v_k$. It follows that $T' \in O(T)$. Therefore, we have precisely two orbits in L, $O(T)$ and $O(\mathcal{G}_n)$.

The orbit $O(\mathcal{G}_n)$ is periodic. Since T is not fixed by the action of any $g \in V(T)$, the orbit $O(T)$ does not accumulate on any point in $O(T)$, and so $O(T)$ is countable. Therefore, $\dim_H L = 0$.

We show that L has positive box dimension. Since the box dimension of a set is equal to the box dimension of its closure, it is enough to compute the box dimension of $O(T)$. Let $N = card(V(\mathcal{P}))$, the number of vertices in N. By an argument, similar to that for the tree L_2, we obtain that the number of clopen balls B_ℓ of diameter $e^{-\ell}$ which are required to cover L satisfies the inequality

$$\sum(1 + (2n-1) + \cdots + (2n-1)^{\ell-2}) + 1 \leq B_\ell \leq N + \sum(1 + (2n-1) + \cdots + (2n-1)^{\ell-2}) + 1,$$

that is,

$$\frac{(2n-1)^{\ell-1} - 1}{2n} + 1 \leq B_\ell \leq N + \frac{(2n-1)^{\ell-1} - 1}{2n} + 1,$$

which implies

$$\underline{\dim}_B L = \overline{\dim}_B L = \ln(2n-1).$$

□

The following result shows part (3) of Theorem 6.1.

PROPOSITION 6.4. *The set $\mathcal{J}$ of closed invariant transitive sets with non-zero Hausdorff dimension is dense in X_n.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a finite set, and let $\Sigma = \{\sigma : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathcal{A}\}$ be a shift space. Let $\Phi : \Sigma \to X_n$ be the embedding defined by (25) and (26), and denote $M_1 = \Phi(\Sigma)$. Let $\sigma \in \Sigma$ be an element with dense orbit in Σ, and let $(T_1, e) = \Phi(\sigma) \in M_1$. Then the closure $\overline{O(T_1)} = M_1$. Recall that by Theorem 5.1 $\dim_H(M_1) > 0$.

Now let U be a clopen neighborhood in X_2, and let $T_2 \in U$. Let $M_2 = \overline{O(T_2)}$. In [29], the author introduced the *fusion* of graphs. Given two graphs T_1 and T_2, the fusion produces a graph $\mathcal{T} \in X_2$ such that $T_1, T_2 \subset \mathcal{M} = \overline{O(\mathcal{T})}$, i.e. T_1 and T_2 are both in the closure of the orbit of $\mathcal{T}$. Since $O(T_1)$ is dense in M_1, then $M_1 \subset \mathcal{M}$, and by Proposition 3.1 $\dim_H \mathcal{M} \geq \dim_H M_1 > 0$. Since $T_2 \in U$, then $\mathcal{M} \cap U \neq \emptyset$. Thus the set of closed invariant transitive sets with non-zero Hausdorff dimension is dense in X_n. □

7. Application: graph matchbox manifolds

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.4 and give a brief overview of the literature on the embeddings of laminations as subsets of foliations.

Since we are interested in embeddings into differentiable foliations, we start by outlining a few properties which such embeddings must possess. For details on foliated manifolds, the reader can refer to the texts by Moore and Schochet [33] or by Candel and Conlon [8], and for the properties of embeddings into differentiable foliations, to the paper by Hurder [22].

Let M be a compact C^∞ manifold of dimension m, and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a $C^{\infty,r}$-foliation of M, for $r \geq 1$. Here $C^{\infty,r}$ means that the leaves of a foliation are smooth manifolds, but the manifold M admits a foliated atlas of class at most C^r, $r \geq 1$. The requirement that $r \geq 1$, that is, the transition maps of any foliated atlas on M are differentiable, imposes important restrictions on the dynamics of the foliation.

A smooth manifold M has a Riemannian metric, which induces a metric d_M on M. By a standard result in foliation theory, one can choose a finite foliated atlas

$$\mathcal{U} = \{\varphi_i : U_i \to (-1,1)^{m-q} \times V_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq \alpha},\ V_i \subset \mathbb{R}^q \text{ is open },$$

of M with certain regularity properties. In addition, we can assume without loss of generality, that the images $T_i = \varphi_i^{-1}(\{0\} \times (-1,1)^q)$ are disjoint. The Riemannian metric on M restricts to a Riemannian metric on each T_i, $1 \leq i \leq \alpha$. Pushing forward this metric via the corresponding homeomorphism φ_i, $1 \leq i \leq \alpha$, to the transversal V_i, we obtain a Riemannian metric on V_i. This

metric induces a distance function d_{V_i} on V_i. We now can define a metric d_V on V by saying that $d_V(u,v) = d_{V_i}(u,v)$ if $u, v \in V_i$, and $d_V(u,v) = \max\{\mathrm{diam}(V_k) \mid 1 \leq k \leq \alpha\} + 1$ if $u \in V_i$ and $v \in V_j$.

If two charts U_i and U_j in the atlas have a non-empty intersection, $U_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$, then there is a C^r-diffeomorphism g_{ij} from an open subset of V_i to an open subset of V_j. The collection $\{g_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i,j \leq \alpha}$, $r \geq 1$, of C^r-diffeomorphisms, corresponding to all non-empty intersections of charts, is called the *generating set* of the holonomy pseudogroup Γ of $\mathcal{F}$, and the elements in the collection are called the *generators*. The holonomy pseudogroup Γ contains all possible compositions of the generators, the restrictions of compositions to open subsets of their domains, and glueings of two compositions into one map, if these compositions coincide on the intersection of their domains.

Since the holonomy group is generated by C^r-diffeomorphisms, for $r \geq 1$, the holonomy pseudogroup Γ is *C-Lipschitz* with respect to d_V (see Ghys, Langevin and Walczak [17] or Hurder [22, Proposition 4.2] for a proof). This means that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for every generator $\{g_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i,j \leq \alpha}$ and every $x, y \in \mathrm{dom}(g_{ij})$ one has

$$\frac{1}{C} d_V(x,y) \leq d_V(g_{ij}(x), g_{ij}(y)) \leq C d_V(x,y).$$

In other words, each generator g_{ij} is a *bi-Lipschitz* map, with a common constant $C > 0$.

Now suppose that $\mathcal{S}$ is a closed saturated subset of M, that is, $\mathcal{S}$ is closed in the topology of M, and if a leaf L of the foliation of M intersects $\mathcal{S}$ non-trivially, then $L \subseteq \mathcal{S}$. Then every $C^{\infty,r}$ atlas $\mathcal{U}$ of M, for $r \geq 1$, induces a $C^{\infty,0}$ atlas $\mathcal{U}' = \{\varphi_i : U_i \cap \mathcal{S} \to [-1,1]^{m-q} \times Z_i\}$ of $\mathcal{S}$, where Z_i is in general a topological space and need not carry any transverse differentiable structure.

The metric d_M induces a metric on $\mathcal{S}$ by restriction. Since each Z_i is a subset of V_i, the metric d_V induces a metric d_Z on $Z = Z_1 \cup \cdots \cup Z_\alpha$ by restriction, and the holonomy pseudogroup $\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}$ is C-Lipschitz relative to d_Z. Therefore, we have the following criteria.

If a lamination $\mathfrak{M}$ can be realized as a subset of a $C^{\infty,r}$ foliation of a manifold M, for $r \geq 1$, then it must satisfy the following two conditions:

(1) $\mathfrak{M}$ must admit a foliated atlas $\mathcal{Q}$ and a metric $d_{\mathfrak{M}}$, such that the holonomy pseudogroup associated to $\mathcal{Q}$ is C-Lipschitz with respect to the metric induced on the transversal by $d_{\mathfrak{M}}$, for some constant $C > 0$.
(2) There must exist a homeomorphism onto its image $\phi : \mathfrak{M} \to M$ which is a bi-Lipschitz map, that is, there exists a constant $K > 0$ such that for any $x, y \in \mathfrak{M}$ we have

$$\frac{1}{K} d_{\mathfrak{M}}(x,y) \leq d_M(\varphi(x), \varphi(y)) \leq K d_{\mathfrak{M}}(x,y). \tag{28}$$

If T and Z are transversals for M and $\mathfrak{M}$ respectively, then (28) induces the equation

$$\frac{1}{K'} d_Z(x,y) \leq d_T(\varphi(x), \varphi(y)) \leq K' d_Z(x,y), \tag{29}$$

for some $K' > 0$.

Now consider the space of pointed trees X_n, associated to the Cayley graph of a free group F_n, $n \geq 2$, with the ball metric d_n. Recall [16, 1, 5, 28, 29] that the pseudogroup dynamical system (X_n, d_n, Γ_n), $n \geq 2$, can be suspended to produce a lamination $\mathfrak{M}_n$ with leaves of dimension 2 or, by a small modification of the theorem below, $k > 2$.

THEOREM 7.1. [16] *Let F_n be a free group on $n \geq 2$ generators, and (X_n, d_n) be the corresponding space of pointed trees with the action of a pseudogroup Γ_n. Then there exists a compact metric space $\mathfrak{M}_n$, and a finite smooth foliated atlas $\mathcal{V} = \{\phi_i : V_i \to U_i \times \mathfrak{X}_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq \nu}$, where $U_i \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is open, and $\mathfrak{X}_i$ is a Cantor set, with associated holonomy pseudogroup $\mathfrak{P}$, such that the following holds.*

(1) The leaves of $\mathfrak{M}_n$ are Riemann surfaces.
(2) There is a homeomorphism onto its image

$$t : X_n \to \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq \nu} \mathfrak{X}_i,$$

such that $t(X_n)$ is a complete transversal for the foliation $\mathcal{F}$, and $\mathfrak{P}|_{\tau(X_n)} = t_*\Gamma$, where $t_*\Gamma$ is the pseudogroup induced on $t(X_n)$ by Γ.

The proof of Theorem 7.1, which can be found in [16, 5], uses a variant of a suspension construction.

A *graph matchbox manifold* is a compact connected subspace $\mathcal{M}$ of $\mathfrak{M}_n$ which is a closure of a leaf L in $\mathfrak{M}_n$, i.e. $\mathcal{M} = \overline{L}$. It was shown in [29], that $\mathfrak{M}_n$ contains a residual subset of dense leaves, and so $\mathfrak{M}_n$ is a graph matchbox manifold in its own right. Then Theorem 1.1 leads to the following non-embedding result.

THEOREM 7.2. *Let F_n, $n \geq 2$, be a free group on n generators, and (X_n, d_n) be the associated space of pointed graphs with ball metric. Let $\mathfrak{M}_n$ be a suspension of the natural pseudogroup action on (X_n, d_n) as in Theorem 7.1. Then $\mathfrak{M}_n$ cannot be embedded as a subset of a C^∞-manifold with a $C^{\infty,r}$-foliation by a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism onto its image, for $r \geq 1$.*

Proof. Let M be a foliated manifold with foliation $\mathcal{F}$, and let Z be any transverse k-dimensional section. By Property (2) in Proposition 3.1 the Hausdorff dimension of any subset of Z is at most k. Suppose there exists a foliated bi-Lipschitz embedding $\phi : \mathfrak{M}_n \to M$, then it must map X_n onto a transverse section Z, or a finite union of such sections. By Theorem 1.1 the Hausdorff dimension of X_n is infinite, so the Hausdorff dimension of the image $\phi(X_n) \subset Z$ must be infinite, a contradiction. Therefore, no such bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism ϕ can exist. □

To author's best knowledge, this example is the first example of a lamination with a given metric and a C-Lipschitz pseudogroup (see Remark 2.7 for a proof that Γ_n is C-Lipschitz), which does not admit a bi-Lipschitz embedding into a $C^{\infty,r}$-foliation of a finite-dimensional manifold, for $r \geq 1$.

Indeed, in the modern foliation literature there are very few examples which deal with embeddings of laminations into differentiable foliations. Most of the available results are about continuous embeddings of various types of laminations into Euclidean spaces and into $C^{\infty,0}$-foliations. The properties of these embeddings are very different from the embeddings into $C^{\infty,r}$ foliations, for $r \geq 1$.

For embeddings into Euclidean spaces, the embedding map is a homeomorphism onto its image, but it need not be bi-Lipschitz. For embeddings into $C^{\infty,0}$-foliations, the embedding map is a homeomorphism onto its image, not necessarily bi-Lipschitz, and, additionally, the holonomy homeomorphisms on the embedded Cantor set transversal must extend to homeomorphisms of a transversal T of the foliated manifold M. The extensions of homeomorphisms are not required to be differentiable.

Embeddings of solenoids into Euclidean spaces were studied in continuum theory. Recall [30] that a *regular* solenoid is a compact metrizable space, homeomorphic to the inverse limit of a sequence of finite-to-one coverings of a closed manifold M_0, such that the fundamental group of each covering space M_i in the sequence injects onto a proper normal subgroup of M_0. Regular solenoids are the simplest examples in the class of weak solenoids, which are the spaces homeomorphic to inverse limits of sequences of any finite-to-one coverings of a closed manifold. Regular solenoids were introduced by McCord [30], with details and examples of regular and weak solenoids given in Schori [38], Rogers and Tollefson [36], Fokkink and Oversteegen [15]. Every solenoid is a fibre bundle with Cantor set fibre over any closed manifold in the corresponding sequence, and so every solenoid is an example of a lamination.

The study of embeddability of solenoids into manifolds dates back to Bing [4] who showed that the inverse limit of non-trivial self-coverings of a circle cannot be topologically embedded into a plane. Prajs [35] showed that, if a homogeneous solenoid with leaves of dimension n is embedded into an $(n+1)$-dimensional manifold, then it is an n-dimensional manifold itself. Rephrasing, a non-trivial homogeneous solenoid cannot be embedded into a manifold with codimension 1. Clark and Fokkink [9] proved a similar result using a cohomological argument. Jiang, Wang and Zheng [18] extended the non-embedding result of [9, 35] to all weak solenoids.

Keesling and Wilson [24] proved, that inverse limits of coverings of n-tori embed into $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$. Clark and Fokkink [9] gave necessary and sufficient conditions for a regular solenoid over a closed manifold M_0 to be topologically embeddable into $M_0 \times \mathbb{R}^2$. In the same paper, the authors also considered topological embeddings of regular solenoids into foliated bundles. The holonomy action on the fibre of a solenoid is a group action. Under certain conditions one can obtain an embedding of a regular solenoid into $M_0 \times \mathbb{R}^2$, so that the product structure on the target space and the bundle structure match. By the result of Moise [32] a homeomorphism of a Cantor subset of $\mathbb{R}^2$ can be extended to a homeomorphism of $\mathbb{R}^2$. Using that, to each generator of the fundamental group of M_0 one can associate a homeomorphism of $\mathbb{R}^2$. The homeomorphisms, obtained by such extension, need not satisfy the same relations as the generators of the fundamental group of M_0, except when restricted to the embedded fibre. Thus the group, acting on $\mathbb{R}^2$ is a free group. The embedding of a solenoid, obtained by this method, is $C^{\infty,0}$.

Lozano [27] used a similar construction, based on the result of Moise, to construct codimension 2 embeddings of graph matchbox manifolds into a foliated manifold. These embeddings are $C^{\infty,0}$. Hector [21] states that certain minimal graph matchbox manifolds without holonomy cannot embed into $C^{\infty,0}$ foliations of codimension 1. Our result in Theorem 1.4 shows, that $\mathfrak{M}_n$ cannot be embedded into a $C^{\infty,r}$-foliation of a smooth manifold for $r \geq 1$ and for *any* codimension, thus showing that the embeddings of graph matchbox manifolds into differentiable foliations are a lot more subtle than their embeddings into continuous foliations.

Williams [40, 41] showed that shift maps of generalized solenoids model topologically expanding hyperbolic attractors, and Brown [6] showed that toral solenoids provide topological models for non-expanding hyperbolic attractors. Clark and Hurder [10] constructed embeddings of a certain class of toral solenoids as subsets of $C^{\infty,r}$-foliated manifolds, for $r \geq 1$.

Further examples of laminations arise as the tiling spaces of aperiodic tilings of $\mathbb{R}^n$ with finite local complexity, of which there is a large literature. See, for instance, Sadun [37] and Kwapisz [26].

There has been a few publications about the existence of bi-Lipschitz embeddings of tiling spaces into Euclidean spaces, which use Hausdorff and Assouad dimensions as obstructions to the existence of such embeddings. Those are papers by Julien and Savinien [19] and by Bellissard and Julien [3]. See also references in [3] for the literature on bi-Lipschitz embeddings of ultrametric spaces into Euclidean spaces.

Julien and Savinien [19] showed that a certain class of tiling spaces of tilings of $\mathbb{R}^n$ can be embedded into $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ by a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism. They also computed the Hausdorff dimension of the canonical transversal for certain classes of tiling spaces. Julien and Bellissard [3] concentrate on embeddings of ultrametric Cantor sets, and show that linearly repetitive subshifts, Sturmian subshifts and some other examples can be embedded into a Euclidean space by a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism.

Hurder [22] studied Lipschitz properties of holonomy pseudogroups from the point of view of embeddings into $C^{\infty,r}$-foliations, for $r \geq 1$. We recommend his article for a nice overview of the topic.

References

[1] F. Alcalde Cuesta, A. Lozano Rojo and M. Macho Stadler, *Dynamique transverse de la lamination de Ghys-Kenyon*, **Astérisque**, 323:1–16, 2009.

[2] O. Attie and S. Hurder, *Manifolds which cannot be leaves of foliations*, **Topology**, 35(2):335–353, 1996.

[3] J. Bellisard, and A. Julien, *Bi-Lipshitz embeddings of ultrametric Cantor sets into Euclidean spaces*, arXiv 1202.4330v2, preprint 2013.

[4] R. H. Bing, *A simple closed curve is the only homogeneous bounded plane continuum that contains an arc*, **Canad. J. Math.**, 12:209–230, 1960.

[5] E. Blanc, **Propriétés génériques des laminations**, PhD Thesis, Université de Claude Bernard-Lyon 1, Lyon, 2001.

[6] A. W. Brown, *Nonexpanding attractors: conjugacy to algebraic models and classification in 3-manifolds*, **J. Mod. Dyn.**, 4(3):517–548, 2010.

[7] C. Camacho and A. Lins Neto, **Geometric Theory of Foliations**, Translated from the Portuguese by Sue E. Goodman, Progress in Mathematics, Birkhäuser Boston, MA, 1985.

[8] A. Candel and L. Conlon, **Foliations I**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000.
[9] A. Clark and R. Fokkink, *Embedding solenoids*, **Fund. Math.**, 181:111–124, 2004.
[10] A. Clark and S. Hurder, *Embedding matchbox manifolds*, **Topology Appl.**, 158(11) 1249-1270, 2011.
[11] M. Coornaert and A. Papadopoulos, **Symbolic Dynamics and Hyperbolic Groups**, Springer-Verlag, 1539, 1993.
[12] A. Denjoy, *Sur les curbes définies par des équations différentielles á la surface du tore*, **J. Math. Pures et Appl.**, 11:333-375, 1932.
[13] G. A. Edgar, **Measure, Topology and Fractal Geometry**, Springer-Verlag, Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics, New York, NY, 1990.
[14] K. J. Falconer, **The Geometry of Fractal Sets**, Cambridge University Press, Harrissonburg, Virginia, 1985.
[15] F. Fokkink and L. Oversteegen, *Homogeneous weak solenoids*, **Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.**, 354:3743–3755, 2002.
[16] É Ghys, *Laminations par surfaces de Riemann*, In **Dynamique et Géométrie Complexes**, Panoramas & Synthèses, 8:49–95, 1999.
[17] É Ghys, R. Langevin and P. Walczak, *Entropie geometrique des feuilletages*, **Acta Math**., 168:105–142, 1988.
[18] B. Jiang, S. Wang, and H. Zheng, *No embeddings of solenoids into surfaces*, **Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.**, 136:3697–3700, 2008.
[19] A. Julien, and J. Savienien, *Embeddings of self-similar ultrametric Cantor sets*, **Top. Appl.**, 158(16): 2148-2157, 2011.
[20] B. Hasselblatt, and A. Katok, **Introduction to the Modern Theory of Dynamical Systems**, Cambridge University Press, 802 pp., 1999.
[21] G. Hector, *Cantor Laminations and Exceptional Minimal Sets in Codimension One Foliations*, in **Geometry and applications**, Springer Proceedings in Mathematics and Statistics, 72:51-72, 2014.
[22] S. Hurder, *Lipschitz matchbox manifolds*, arXiv:1309.1512, to appear in **Proceedings of the conference Geometry, Dynamics, and Foliations 2013**.
[23] S. Hurder, and O. Lukina, *Coarse entropy and transverse dimension*, *in preparation*, 2016.
[24] J. Keesling and D. Wilson, *Embedding $\mathbb{T}^n$-like continua in Euclidean space*, **Topology Appl.**, 21:241-249, 1985.
[25] B. Kitchens, **Symbolic dynamics**, Springer, Universitext, 1998.
[26] J. Kwapisz, *Rigidity and mapping class group for abstract tiling spaces*, **Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems**, 31(6) 1745–1783, 2011.
[27] A. Lozano Rojo, *An example of a non-uniquely ergodic lamination*, **Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems**, 31(2) 449-457, 2011.
[28] A. Lozano Rojo and O. Lukina, *Suspensions of Bernoulli shifts*, **Dynamical Systems. An International Journal**, 28(4) 551–566, 2013.
[29] O. Lukina, *Hierarchy of graph matchbox manifolds*, **Topology Appl.**, 159(16):3461-3485, 2012.
[30] C. McCord, *Inverse limit sequences with covering maps*, **Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.**, 114:197–209, 1965.
[31] D. McDuff, *C^1-minimal subsets of the circle*, **Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)**, 31:177–193, 1981.
[32] E. Moise, *Geometric topology in dimensions* 2 *and* 3, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 47, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977.
[33] C.C. Moore and C. Schochet, **Analysis on Foliated Spaces**, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ. vol. 9, Second Edition, Cambridge University Press, New York, 2006.
[34] Y. Pesin, **Dimension Theory in Dynamical Systems. Contemporary Views and Applications**, Chicago Lectures in Mathematics, The University of Chicago Press, 1997.
[35] J. R. Prajs, *Homogeneous continua in Euclidean $(n+1)$-space which contain an n-cube are n-manifolds*, **Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.**, 318(1):143–148, 1990.
[36] J.T. Rogers, J.L. Tollefson *Involutions on solenoidal spaces*, **Fund. Math.**, 73:11–19, 1971/72.
[37] L. Sadun, **Topology of tiling spaces**, University Lecture Series, Vol. 46, American Math. Society, 2008.
[38] R. Schori, *Inverse limits and homogeneity*, **Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.**, 124:533–539, 1966.
[39] J. Schmeling and H. Weiss, *An overview of the dimension theory of dynamical systems*, in **Smooth ergodic theory and its applications (Seattle, WA, 1999)**, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.,69:429–488, 2001.
[40] R.F. Williams, *One-dimensional non-wandering sets*, **Topology**, 6:473–487, 1967.
[41] R.F. Williams, *Expanding attractors*, **Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.**, 43:169–203, 1974.

E-mail address: lukina@uic.edu

Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois at Chicago, 322 SEO (m/c 249), 851 S. Morgan Street, Chicago, IL 60607-7045